

Equality and Human Rig	hts Impact Assessment:
Policy / Project / Function:	Proposal for short-term halting sites
Date of Assessment:	1 August 2013
Assessment Pre-screening Rating:	$egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
(See Completion Notes)	Amber
Reason for that decision	Green as this is likely to attract considerable media and public interest
Type of Assessment Performed:	Systematic Policy √ Assessment
Please Tick ✓	Consultation
	Meeting
	Other
Please list any other policies that are related to or referred to as part of this assessment	Management of Unauthorised Gypsy/ Traveller Encampments
Who does the policy,	Employees
project or function affect ?	Service Users √
Please Tick ✓	Applicants ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐
	Local residents and



businesses



Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment:

What are the aims and intended effects of this policy, project or function?

Redressing disadvantage by increasing accommodation provision for Gypsy/Travellers visiting the City to help meet clearly identified needs of Gypsy/Traveller families.

Better managed situation with unauthorised encampments

Improved Community relationships

Improved service and access to services for Gypsy/Travellers

What Equality Data is available relating to the use or implementation of this policy, project or function?

We have limited intelligence on the Gypsies/Travellers that have been using the unauthorised encampments this year.

(See Completion notes)

List the outcomes from any consultation e.g. with employees, service users, Unions or members of the public that has taken place in the development or implementation of this policy, project or function

The Stakeholders Group has included community representatives, whose views have helped shape and inform this proposal.

Best Practice Research of UK Councils who are further ahead.

Concept of short-term halting sites has been included within the recommendations of Scottish Government and Parliament Publications.

There have been several consultations with Gypsies/ Travellers since 2001, which have identified the need for short-term halting sites.



CITY OF	JUNGIL	
Financial Assessment	Costs (£)	
If applicable, state any relevant cost implications (e.g. expenses, returns or savings) as a direct result	Implementation £	
of implementing this policy, project or function	Projected Returns £	
	Projected Savings Costs for delivery of this have still to be developed. This year, the Council has spent £73,381 on clear up and legal costs for unauthorised encampments.	
With the proposed halting site, there will traveller families with minimal service proposed halting sites and the Clintert There will be a management framework	provision, who otherwise if there are no y site is full, are effectively homeless.	
principles, which reflect the rights, resp the halting sites benefit both Gypsies/T		
Therefore this is a positive step which contributes to the public sector equality duty.		
-		



How does this	proposal link to the Council's Equality
Outcomes?	
Equality Outcome5:	Effective customer service that is aware of the differences and requirements of different groups
Equality Outcome 19:	Improved awareness, knowledge and understanding of diversity and equality
	Accommodation which meets the needs, culture and lifestyle of mally resident in and visiting, the city of Aberdeen.



Equality Impact Assessment Test:

What impact will implementing this policy, project or function have on employees, service users or other people who share characteristics protected by *The Equality Act 2010*?

Protected Characteristic:	Neutral Impact:	Positive Impact:	Negative Impact:	Evidence of impact and if applicable, justification where a Genuine Determining Reason exists
Age (People of all ages)				
Disability (Mental, Physical, Sensory and Carers of disabled)				
Gender Reassignment				
Marital Status (Married and Civil Partnerships)				
Pregnancy and Maternity				



Equality Impact Assessment Test:

What impact will implementing this policy, project or function have on employees, service users or other people who share characteristics protected by *The Equality Act 2010*?

Protected Characteristic:	Neutral Impact:	Positive Impact:	Negative Impact:	Evidence of impact and if applicable, justification where a Genuine Determining Reason exists
Race (All Racial Groups including Gypsy/Travellers)				Redressing disadvantage by increasing accommodation provision for Gypsy/Travellers visiting the City to help meet clearly identified needs of Gypsy/ Traveller families. Better managed situation with unauthorised encampments Improved Community relationships between Settled community and the Gypsy/Traveller community. Improved service and access to services for Gypsy/Travellers .



Religion or Belief or Non-belief					
Sex (Women and men)					
Sexual Orientation (Heterosexual, Lesbian, Gay and Bisexual)					
Human Rights Impact Assessment Test:					

Human Rights Impact Assessment Test:		
Does this proposal have the potential to	Yes √	
impact on an individual's Human Rights?	No	



Evidence of impact and , if applicable, justification where the impact is proportionate	
Article 3: Right not to be subjected to torture, inhumane or degrading treatment or punishment	
Article 6 Right to a fair and public hearing	
Article 8 Right to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence	Right to respect for the way of life (both local community & Gypsy/Travellers)
Article 10 Freedom of expression	
Other article not listed above	Article 1 – Peaceful enjoyment of property (both residents and businesses) Article 2 – Right to education of Gypsy/Travellers Article 11 – Freedom of Association Article 14 – Ensure Gypsy/Travellers are not the subject of Racial Harassment



Assessment Summary and Sign Off			
Overall Assessment Rating: (See Completion Notes)	Red Red Amber Green Amber		
Reason for that decision	Green as this will have a positive impact for Gypsy/ Travellers		
This Equality and Human Rights Impact Assessment was completed by:	Martin Smith Housing Manager Housing and Environment		
(Name and Service)			



Action Planning:

As a result of performing this assessment, what actions are proposed to remove or reduce any risks of adverse outcomes identified on employees, service users or other people who share characteristics protected by *The Equality Act 2010*?

Identified Risk:	Recommended Actions:	Responsible Lead:	Completion Date:	Review Date:
Short-term halting sites will be managed and therefore should not be compared to unauthorised encampments, which arise on an ad-hoc basis. However, care needs to be taken also to protect the rights of local communities and businesses to respect for their private life and enjoyment of their properties.	That there is a continued role for the Stakeholder Group in helping to develop a management framework and a communication strategy to raise awareness of the issues around Gypsy/ Travellers and to build better relationships between Gypsy/ Travellers and the settled community.	Martin Smith		
If the sites are seen not to work effectively, then there could be increased hostility between the Gypsy/ Traveller and settled community, and further reputational damage to the Council				





	VIII OVOIVE
	Completion Notes:
Assessment Prescreening Rating:	This section will highlight where there is the obvious potential for a negative impact and subsequent risk of negative media coverage and reputational damage to the council. Therefore a full impact assessment is required, for example around sensitive issues such as marching, Gypsy/ Traveller issues, change to social care provision. It should also be completed to evidence why a full impact assessment was not required, for example, where there is no potential negative impact on people.
Assessment Rating:	After completing this document, rate the overall assessment as follows: Red: As a result of performing this assessment, it is evident that we will discriminate (direct, indirect, unintentional or otherwise against one or more of the nine groups of people who share Protected Characteristics. It is essential that the use of the activity or policy be suspended until further work or assessment is performed and the discrimination is removed. Red Amber: As a result of performing this assessment, it is evident that a risk
	of negative impact exists to one or more of the nine groups of people who share <i>Protected Characteristics</i> . However, a genuine determining reason may exist that could legitimise or justify the use of this activity or policy and further professional advice should be taken. Amber: As a result of performing this assessment, it is evident that a risk of negative impact exists and this risk may be removed or reduced by implementing the actions detailed within the <i>Action Planning</i> section of this document.
	Green: As a result of performing this assessment, the policy or activity does not appear to have any adverse impacts on people who share <i>Protected Characteristics</i> and no further actions are recommended at this stage.
Equality Data:	Equality data is internal or external information that may indicate how the activity or policy being analysed can affect different groups of people who share the nine <i>Protected Characteristics</i> – referred to hereafter as <i>'Equality Groups'</i> .
	Examples of Equality Data include: (this list is not definitive)
	Application success rates by Equality Groups Complaints by Equality Groups Service usage and withdrawal of services by Equality Groups Grievances or decisions upheld and dismissed by Equality Groups
Genuine Determining Reason	Certain discrimination may be capable of being justified on the grounds that: (i) A genuine determining reason exists (ii) The action is proportionate to the legitimate aims of the organisation Where this is identified, it is recommended that professional and legal advice is
Luman Dights	sought prior to completing an Equality Impact Assessments. The rights set out in the European Convention on Human Rights, as
Human Rights	incorporated into the UK Law by the Human Rights Act 1998.
Legal Status:	This document is designed to assist us in" <i>Identifying and eliminating unlawful Discrimination, Harassment and Victimisation</i> " as required by <i>The Equality Act Public Sector Duty 2011.</i> An Equality Impact Assessment is not, in itself, legally binding and should not be used as a substitute for legal or other professional advice.